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Project Eligibility Checklist
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Checklist Modifications

- Categories
- Identified objectives and RMS as mandatory for minimum requirements
- Consistency with Public Resources Code 75026(a)
- Project location
- MOU signatory
Project Monitoring Policy
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Project Monitoring Policy

- Prop 84 Guidelines:
  - IRWM Plan must address monitoring
  - Each project in the IRWM Plan is monitored to comply with all applicable rules, laws, and permit requirements
- Monitoring requirements will vary by grant
- Purpose of Policy:
  - To ensure that credible and transparent monitoring of projects is applied to all IRWM-sanctioned projects
Although project monitoring requirements will vary by grant application, it is the position of the Upper Feather River RWMG that project monitoring for IRWM-sanctioned projects should be objective, transparent, available to the public, encouraged to be conducted by an objective third party, and science-based.
Project Selection & Ranking Criteria

Agenda Item No. 3
Methodology

- Prop 84 Guidelines project review factors
- Scoring criteria
  - Apply weighting factors
- Categories projects by Workgroup
  - Agricultural Land Stewardship
  - Floodplains, Meadows and Waterbodies
  - Municipal Services
  - Tribal Advisory Committee
  - Uplands and Forest
# Project Scoring Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Weighting Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relevance to IRWM Plan (Goals/Objectives)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair (1)</td>
<td>Good (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Management Strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 RMS addressed</td>
<td>3-5 RMS addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 or more RMS addressed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statewide Priorities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 priority addressed</td>
<td>2 priorities addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or more priorities addressed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought Preparedness (long-term)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 category addressed</td>
<td>2 categories addressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or more categories addressed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact if not funded</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 benefit</td>
<td>2 benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or more benefits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Benefits of Common Interest (water conservation, water supply/reliability, fire protection, consolidation/regionization)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair (1)</td>
<td>Good (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAC or Tribal Communities</td>
<td>Projects that may hire workers from a DAC or that have some minimal improvement to a DAC or Tribal Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects that will indirectly, but significantly benefit a DAC or Tribal Community</td>
<td>Projects that are located within or developed in collaboration with DAC or Tribal Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following factors have been considered in the project review process and whether or not the projects address the factor will be indicated with “yes” or “no”</td>
<td>Technical feasibility of the project, Environmental justice considerations, Project costs and financing, Economic feasibility, Project status, Contribution of project in adapting to the effects of climate change and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next steps

- Consider and approve scoring criteria
- Apply weighting factors
- Revise Step 2 Project Information Form to reflect approved categories
Conceptual Project Review – Step 1
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Purpose of Step 1 Review

- Initial vetting for minimum eligibility requirements, Prop 84
  - Located in, or directly benefits, the UFR Region
  - Signed MOU
  - Address at least one UFR IRWM objective
  - Address at least one UFR IRWM resource management strategy
  - Address at least one of the elements of PRC 75026(a)

This review is not to score, rank or select projects for the Plan
Step 1 Review Process

1. Categorize projects
2. Minimum eligibility requirements, Prop 84
3. Project integration
4. Feedback to proponents
   - Potential problems or conflicts with objectives or other projects
   - Environmental justice impacts
   - Impacts to DACs
   - Impacts to Tribes
Next Steps

- Compile RWMG list of vetted projects and feedback
- Send feedback and Step 2 Project Information Form (PIF) to eligible project proponents
- Work with project proponents on integration and development of PIF
- August 3, 2015 – deadline for submission of Step 2 PIF
- August 21, 2015 – Workgroup integration workshop
- RWMG scoring and selection
Next RWMG Meeting

July 31, 2015 - 1:00 p.m.